

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,877	10/26/2001	Gregory Owen Miller	9DDW19324CIP 1751	
23465	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. BEULICK			LUGO, CARLOS	
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2600			3676	
ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,
3

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/682,877	MILLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carlos Lugo	3676	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Re	piy					
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the specified above is less than thirty (30 d for reply is specified above, the maximum state of the specified above.	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statul atutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the applie	ory minimum of thirty (30) days wi expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the eation to become ABANDONED (3	filed Il be considered timely. mailing date of this communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>02 August 2004</u> .	•			
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is no	n-final.			
3)☐ Sind	ce this application is in condition	for allowance except f	or formal matters, prose	cution as to the merits is		
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition o	of Claims					
· <u> </u>		unnlication				
•	 Claim(s) 6-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
	m(s) is/are allowed.					
·	m(s) <u>6-19</u> is/are rejected.					
•	m(s) is/are objected to.					
	m(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election re	quirement.			
Application F	Papers					
10)⊠ The Appl Repl	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 18 Septembe icant may not request that any objectant drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to	er 2002 is/are: a)⊠ acction to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See 3 d if the drawing(s) is object	7 CFR 1.85(a). ted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Certified copies of the priority	documents have been documents have been of the priority documen nal Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application nts have been received 17.2(a)).	No		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 09/682,877 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on August 2, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 2,948,560 to Rop.

Regarding claim 6, Rop discloses a latch assembly comprising a door including a door retainer projection (16) and a hooded portion (Figures 1-3).

A keeper (11) is mounted in the device.

A latch handle (20) is pivotally mounted to the door beneath the hooded portion.

The handle is configured to rotate about a first longitudinal axis (21).

A latch actuator (14) is pivotally mounted to the door. The latch actuator is configured to rotate about a second longitudinal axis (15).

The latch handle and the latch actuator are rotationally coupled. The latch handle pivots in one direction and the latch actuator pivots in another direction.

The handle is selectively positional between an open and a closed position to couple the door to the device.

The latch actuator disengages the keeper from the door retainer projection as the latch handle rotates.

However, Rop (Figures 1-3) fails to disclose that the hooded portion is rounded; that the keeper is resilient; and that the latch assembly is used in a dishwasher.

As to the hooded portion been rounded, Rop discloses another embodiment (Figure 10) that illustrates a hooded portion to be rounded (at 40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to change the shape of the hooded portion, from square to round, because the shape of the hooded portion will not affect the mechanism movement.

As to the keeper been resilient, Rop discloses another embodiment (Figure 10) having a resilient keeper (51). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a resilient keeper in order to bias the keeper to the door retaining projection.

As to the fact that the latch assembly is a dishwasher latch door assembly, Rop discloses that the latch mechanism is for refrigerators and for other applications in which a tight closure is required (Col. 1 Lines 15-20). It is well known in the art that dishwashers need a tight closure of the door with respect to the device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a latch assembly, as described by Rop, into a dishwasher, in order to obtain a tight closure of the door.

As to claims 7 and 11, Rop discloses that the device includes a tub assembly (wherein the keeper is mounted).

As to claim 8, Rop discloses that the keeper comprises a keeper engagement surface (13). The keeper-engaging surface will disengage from the door retaining projection (16) when the latch handle is rotated.

As to claims 9 and 13, Rop discloses that the latch assembly further includes a bracket mounted to the door (where pins 15 and 21 are supported, Figures 1). The latch actuator and the latch handle are hingedly attached to the bracket. Also, Rop teaches another embodiment (Figure 10) that includes a bracket.

As to claims 10 and 12, Rop illustrates that the latch handle comprises a rounded engagement portion in contact with the latch actuator (Figure 1).

As to claims 14 and 18, Rop illustrates that the latch actuator (14) comprises a substantially flat plate (Figure 1).

As to claims 15 and 17, Rop illustrates that the latch handle further comprises a closed handle stop (the end of the handle near the pivot 21).

As to claim 16, Rop discloses an escutcheon (the door).

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 703-305-9747. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST. Application/Control Number: 09/682,877

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

n

Carlos Lugo AU 3676

August 19, 2004

JOHN WALSH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5